

New Issue: MOODY'S ASSIGNS Aaa RATING TO VILLAGE OF GLENVIEW'S (MN) \$11.8 MILLION GENERAL OBLIGATION REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2009D AND \$28.3 MILLION GENERAL OBLIGATION REFUNDING BONDS, TAXABLE SERIES 2009E

Global Credit Research - 02 Oct 2009

Aaa RATING APPLIES TO \$166 MILLION OF POST-SALE GOULT DEBT

Municipality

ΙL

Moody's Rating

ISSUEGeneral Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2009D
Aaa

 Sale Amount
 \$11,840,000

 Expected Sale Date
 10/06/09

Rating Description General Obligation

General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Taxable Series 2009E Aaa

 Sale Amount
 \$28,260,000

 Expected Sale Date
 10/06/09

Rating Description General Obligation

Opinion

NEW YORK, Oct 2, 2009 -- Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aaa rating to the Village of Glenview's \$11.8 million General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2009D and \$28.3 million General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2009E. Concurrently, Moody's has affirmed the village's Aaa rating, affecting \$166 million of outstanding rated general obligation debt, including the current issue. Secured by the village's general obligation unlimited tax pledge, proceeds from the Series 2009D bonds will refund the village's General Obligation Bonds, Series 1998B for net present value savings of approximately 7.3%. Also secured by the village's general obligation unlimited tax pledge, the Series 2009E bonds will refund and extend the maturity of the village's General Obligation Bonds, Taxable Series 2006B. The Aaa rating, Moody's highest, reflects the village's large and affluent tax base with a diverse economy, strong financial operations with financial flexibility derived from home-rule status, and a moderate debt burden with rapid principal amortization.

LARGE AND AFFLUENT CHICAGO SUBURB; DEVELOPMENT IN THE FORMER GLENVIEW NAVAL AIR STATION (GNAS) EXPECTED TO SLOW

Moody's believes the village will continue to experience strong growth in its tax base due to its location and continued, though slowing, development of the former Glenview Naval Air Station (GNAS). The Village of Glenview benefits from its location within the Chicago (GO rated Aa3/stable outlook) metropolitan area, with a strong local transportation network which provides access to downtown Chicago, O'Hare International Airport (airport revenue debt rated Aa3/stable outlook), and other suburban employment centers. The village is located roughly 20 miles north of downtown Chicago and is nestled among the area's most affluent communities. According to the 2000 Census, median and per capita income for village residents stood at 174% and 188% of the state levels, respectively. Additionally, median home values of \$336,000 were 257% of the state level, reflecting the long-standing desirability of real estate in the area.

The village's tax base is considered well balanced, with high quality housing stock responsible for 68% of full valuation and commercial and industrial making up 22% and 10% (2007), respectively. The village's tax base is a large \$8.8 billion (2008) and is home to the corporate headquarters of Kraft USA (senior unsecured debt rated Baa2/review for possible downgrade), its largest taxpayer (2% of assessed valuation). Much of the tax base growth over the last several years, an average 12.5% annually, can be

attributed to the redevelopment of GNAS into significant new residential and commercial property which has generated \$479 million in tax increment since its 1998 inception. The project area now includes approximately 700 acres of single and multi-family residential development; office/warehouse space, mixed-use or retail development, and two golf courses. Building permits have significantly slowed from an average of \$262 million from 2000 to 2004 to an average \$115 million from 2004 to 2007 as the area nears full-build out and the impact of economic downturn. However, village officials have begun to identify other redevelopment and growth opportunities.

SOUND FINANCIAL OPERATIONS EXPECTED TO CONTINUE; FINANCIAL FLEXIBILITY DERIVED FROM HOMERULE STATUS

Moody's expects the village's financial operations will remain sound given the continued strength in operating revenues, the support of healthy reserves, and considerable revenue raising options. The village has consciously reduced fund balances from fiscal 2006 to 2008 as en effort to maintain General Fund balances within formal policy level. At the close of fiscal 2005, the General Fund balance stood at \$29.1 million, or an ample 58.4% of revenues, as a result of a \$9.8 million surplus. However, the surplus and fund balance was inflated due to the receipt of approximately \$7.7 million in non-recurring revenues, which the village did not expect to receive until fiscal 2006. As such, the fiscal 2006 General Fund budget applied the \$9 million prior year surplus to support planned capital projects. However, due to positive budget variances the village closed fiscal 2006 with a \$5.3 million deficit. In fiscal 2007 and 2008 the village applied an additional \$7.6 million of fund balance to support capital outlay in order to bring reserves more in line with the village's policy of maintaining 30%-40% of expenditures in General Fund reserves. At the close of fiscal 2008, the village had a \$16.2 million fund balance, or 32.7% of General Fund revenues. Village officials expect a \$3.3 million surplus in fiscal 2009, inclusive of \$2.9 million in capital spending and the implementation of various expenditure controls. Preliminary budget figures for fiscal 2010 indicate a modest \$150,000 shortfall that village officials expect to remediate thru various revenue enhancing options currently being explored.

The operating surpluses in recent years are in part a result of historically strong sales tax receipts. Sales tax revenues are the largest General Fund revenue stream, making up approximately 40% of total operating revenues in fiscal 2008. The growing retail sector along with a home-rule sales tax has allowed for strong growth in sales tax revenues, however, broader economic conditions have reversed this trend. In July 2008, village officials increased the home-rule sales tax by .25%, which has helped bolster operations during the national recession. Notably, the village has substantial revenue-raising flexibility given its home-rule status which allows it to increase taxes and/or fees without voter approval.

MODERATE DEBT BURDEN WITH RAPID PRINCIPAL AMORTIZATION

Moody's anticipates that the village's moderate debt burden will remain manageable due to continued tax base growth and significant support from non-debt service levy sources. The village's overall debt burden is slightly above average at 3.5% of full valuation and primarily due to debt issued by overlapping entities, including the county, park district, and multiple school districts. While the village's direct debt is above average at 1.9%, Moody's notes that over 70% of the village's outstanding direct debt is repaid from tax increment and utility revenues, substantially reducing the burden debt service levy. Principal amortization of direct obligations is rapid, with 80.7% retired within ten years. However, the current Series 2009E borrowing has a bullet maturity of \$28.3 million due 2013. Village officials report lagging land sales as the primary reason for the restructuring, as broader national economic trends have impacted development within the project area. Officials report no major borrowing plans expected over the near term.

KEY STATISTICS:

2005 Census population: 44,443 (6.2% since 2000)

2008 Full value: \$8.8 billion

2008 Full value per capita: \$198,598

1999 Median family income: \$96,552 (173.8% of state)

1999 Per capita income: \$43,384 (187.8% of state)

2000 Median housing value: \$336,000 (256.9% of state)

Overall debt burden: 3.5% (1.9% direct)

Amortization of principal (10 years): 80.7%

FY2008 General Fund balances: \$16.2 million (32.7% of General Fund revenues)

Post-sale general obligation unlimited tax-backed debt: \$166 million

The principal methodology used in rating the Village of Glenview was Moody's Local Government General Obligation and Related Ratings, published in December 2008 and available on www.moodys.com in the Rating Methodologies sub-directory under the Research & Ratings tab. Other methodologies and factors that may have been considered in the process of rating this issuer can also be found in the Rating Methodologies sub-directory on Moody's website.

The last rating action with respect to Glenview (IL) was on May 1, 2009 when its underlying rating was affirmed.

Analysts

Iliana Beltran Analyst Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Edward Damutz Backup Analyst Public Finance Group Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376 Research Clients: (212) 553-1653



CREDIT RATINGS ARE MIS'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MIS DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT CONSTITUTE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MIS ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

© Copyright 2009, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors including Moody's Assurance Company, Inc. (together, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, such information is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind and MOODY'S, in particular, makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability or fitness for

any particular purpose of any such information. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The credit ratings and financial reporting analysis observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER. Each rating or other opinion must be weighed solely as one factor in any investment decision made by or on behalf of any user of the information contained herein, and each such user must accordingly make its own study and evaluation of each security and of each issuer and guarantor of, and each provider of credit support for, each security that it may consider purchasing, holding or selling.

MOODY'S hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MOODY'S have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MOODY'S for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,400,000. Moody's Corporation (MCO) and its wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary, Moody's Investors Service (MIS), also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually on Moody's website at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations - Corporate Governance - Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."